

ORDINANCE NO. 2026-27

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF VENICE, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, CHAPTER 2 ADMINISTRATION, ARTICLE IV FINANCE, DIVISION 2 PURCHASES, BY ADDING SECTION 2-227, UNSOLICITED PROPOSALS FOR PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS; PROVIDING FOR REPEAL OF ALL ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT HERewith; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE

WHEREAS, Section 255.065, Florida Statutes governs public-private partnerships with the intent to encourage investment in the state by private entities; to facilitate various bond financing mechanisms, private capital, and other funding sources for the development and operation of qualifying projects, including expansion and acceleration of such financing to meet the public need; and to provide the greatest possible flexibility to public and private entities contracting for the provision of public services; and

WHEREAS, the City of Venice ("City") desires to promulgate procedures and establish fees in alignment with state law to govern the City's handling of unsolicited proposals for a public-private partnership; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds and determines that the provisions of this ordinance are in the best interest and furtherance of the health, safety, and welfare of the City, its citizens and taxpayers.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF VENICE, FLORIDA, as follows:

SECTION 1. The above whereas clauses are ratified and confirmed as true and correct.

SECTION 2. Chapter 2 Administration, Article IV Finance, Division 2 Purchases, of the City's Code of Ordinances, is hereby amended by adding a section to be numbered as 2-227, which section shall read as follows:

Sec. 2-227. Unsolicited Proposals for Public-Private Partnerships.

(a) Purpose and intent. The purpose of this section is to provide procedures and establish fees relating to the application, evaluation, and award of an unsolicited proposal received by the city pursuant to F.S. § 255.065. The city manager or designee is authorized to promulgate additional administrative procedures not in conflict with this section.

(b) Definitions. The definitions contained in F.S. § 255.065(1) are incorporated herein, and the below definitions are supplemental to the definitions contained in F.S. § 255.065(1).

(1) "Comprehensive Agreement" means an agreement meeting the requirements of F.S. § 255.065(7) between the proposer and the city that is required before developing or operating a proposed project.

(2) "Conceptual Proposal" means an unsolicited proposal that includes conceptual information sufficient for the city to determine whether the proposed ideas are attractive enough to

justify investment of city resources to undertake a process that may lead to formation of a contract to implement the ideas.

- (3) "Detailed Proposal" means an unsolicited proposal that contains detail beyond a conceptual level sufficient for the city to compare the proposal competitively to others.
- (4) "Evaluation Committee" shall mean a committee appointed by the city manager to review and rank the proposals and present the ranking to the city council ("council") to determine the best proposal to engage in negotiations with.
- (5) "Interim Agreement" means an agreement meeting the requirements of F.S. § 255.065(6), before or in connection with the negotiation of a Comprehensive Agreement, between the city and a proposer whereby the proposer may be authorized by the city to conduct due diligence or further studies or investigations related to the proposed project which may include, but not be limited to, project planning and development, design, engineering, environmental analysis and mitigation, surveying, financial and revenue analysis, ascertaining the availability of financing, or any other aspect of the proposed project.
- (6) "P3 Statute" means F.S. § 255.065.
- (7) "Qualifying Project" means a facility or project that serves a public purpose, or a facility or infrastructure that is used or will be used by the public or in support of a public purpose or activity, as defined in the P3 Statute.

(c) Conceptual proposal.

- (1) A proposer may submit a Conceptual Proposal to the city, to gauge the city's potential interest in pursuing the proposed project as a public-private partnership. A Conceptual Proposal is not required. A proposer may forego submitting a Conceptual Proposal and submit a Detailed Proposal.
- (2) All Conceptual Proposals shall be accompanied by an application fee in the amount of \$5,000.00, payable to the city in the form of a money order or cashier's check. Conceptual Proposals submitted without the application fee will not be accepted.
- (3) A Conceptual Proposal must contain sufficient information to inform the city about:
 - a. The overall character of the proposed project;
 - b. The general experience of the proposer; and
 - c. The general strategies to ensure successful project delivery.
- (4) Within ten (10) business days after receipt of the Conceptual Proposal, the city will either:
 - a. Summarily reject the Conceptual Proposal and return the application fee; or
 - b. Accept the Conceptual Proposal for substantive review and notify the proposer of the anticipated time required for the city to complete the review of the Conceptual Proposal.
- (5) If the city decides to accept the Conceptual Proposal for substantive review, the city will preliminarily assess whether:
 - a. The proposed project is a Qualifying Project.

b. The proposed project delivery model offers advantages over traditional models, for example, lower cost, shorter schedule, increased investment, etc.

c. The proposed project is reasonably likely to satisfy the criteria established by the P3 Statute.

(6) Upon completion of review of the Conceptual Proposal, the city will notify the proposer in writing of the city's position regarding the proposed project. The city may:

a. Decide not to pursue the proposed project;

b. Decide to pursue the proposed project, or a similar project, using other procurement methods (in which case, if option to private companies, the proposer may compete if otherwise qualified); or

c. Decide to continue considering the proposed project under the P3 Statute and request the proposer to submit a Detailed Proposal (which request shall not constitute a formal solicitation).

(7) The City's disposition of a Conceptual Proposal does not limit its discretion or authority with respect to future projects, whether solicited or unsolicited.

(d) Detailed proposal.

(1) If during the initial sufficiency review the city determines the Detailed Proposal does not include an application fee or all required materials and information, the Detailed Proposal will be rejected by the city without further consideration.

(2) A Detailed Proposal shall include sufficient detail and information for the city to evaluate the proposal in an objective and timely manner and to determine if the proposal serves a public purpose and meets the criteria set forth in this section and the P3 Statute. Each application shall contain the following items, as appropriate to the proposed project:

a. A description of the proposer, including name, address, type of organization, and structure;

b. Name and complete contact information of the primary point of contact for the Detailed Proposal;

c. A description of the public need for the project or facility;

d. A description of the public benefit to be served;

e. Names and experience of proposed key project personnel;

f. Type of support needed, if any, from the city, for example, facilities, equipment, materials, personnel, financial resources, etc.

g. Identification of any proprietary data used and the manner in which it is used;

h. Identification of any outside entities or professionals the proposer has or intends to consult with respect to the project;

i. The names of any other federal, state, or local agencies receiving a similar proposal from the proposer;

- j. A complete discussion of the objective of the project, the method of approach, the nature of the anticipated results, and the characteristics that make it a qualifying project worthy of pursuit by the city;
 - k. A detailed overview of the proposed business arrangements, including the plan for the development, financing, and operation of the project;
 - l. A preliminary project schedule;
 - m. A detailed financial analysis of the proposed project;
 - n. Specification as to when the pricing or terms of the proposal will expire;
 - o. All materials and information required pursuant to the Project Approval Requirements set forth in F.S. § 255.065(4)(a-e); and
 - p. Such additional material or information that the city may reasonably request.
- (3) If the proposer is requesting that the Detailed Proposal be considered by the city in accordance with the provisions of F.S. § 255.065(3)(c), the proposer shall submit the following additional information with their application:
- a. A statement that they would like the proposal to be considered under subsection (g)(2);
 - b. A statement detailing the proposer's ability to perform the project; and
 - c. A statement detailing the project's compatibility with regional infrastructure plans.
- (4) In considering a Detailed Proposal, the city may require from the proposer a technical study, such as a financial analysis or feasibility study, prepared by a nationally recognized expert with expertise in preparing such analyses and studies for bond rating agencies. In evaluating the technical study, the city may rely upon internal staff reports prepared by personnel familiar with the operation of similar facilities or the advice of external advisors or consultants who have relevant experience.
- (e) Fees. The city shall charge fees to the proposer to cover the costs of processing, reviewing, and evaluating any Detailed Proposal. These fees include, but are not limited to, a fee to cover the costs of staff time and the costs of any attorneys, engineers, financial advisors and other consultants retained to review and evaluate the proposal, provide recommendations to the city, and/or negotiate a contract.
- (1) Review fee. All Detailed Proposals shall be accompanied by a review fee in the amount of \$25,000.00, unless the proposer has already paid a fee for a substantially similar Conceptual Proposal, in which case the review fee is \$20,000.00, payable to the city in the form of a money order, cashier's check, or other form deemed acceptable to the city. Proposals submitted without the application fee shall not be accepted. Within thirty (30) calendar days after receipt of the Detailed Proposal and review fee, the city, through the city manager or designee, will either summarily reject the Detailed Proposal and return the review fee or accept the Detailed Proposal for substantive review. Unless the city chooses to summarily reject a Detailed Proposal prior to conducting a substantive review, the review fee is non-refundable.
- (2) Additional review fee. If the initial review fee is not sufficient to cover the city's costs to evaluate the Detailed Proposal, the city will request in writing the additional amounts required. The proposer shall pay the requested additional review fee within thirty (30)

calendar days. Failure to pay any additional review fee shall result in the rejection of the proposal or the suspension or termination of contract negotiations. If the additional fees paid are not sufficient to cover the costs to complete the evaluation of the proposal, ranking, and contract negotiations, the city may require further additional fees from the proposer to ensure that all city's costs are paid for or reimbursed by the proposer. The city may stop its review if the proposer fails to pay the additional evaluation fee.

(3) Refund of any additional review fee. The city shall refund any portion of the additional review fees collected which are in excess of the actual costs of evaluating the proposal, advertising and negotiating the contract after the evaluation and/or negotiation is complete.

(f) Review and Evaluation.

(1) Notice to Council.

- a. Upon receipt of a Detailed Proposal, the city manager shall notify the council, in writing, and provide a brief summary of the proposed project.
- b. At any time during the initial review or Stage 1 review, the city manager may seek input and direction from the city council as to whether to reject a Detailed Proposal or proceed with a Detailed Proposal, either with or without engaging in a public bidding process, as set forth in this section.

(2) Stage 1 review.

- a. Within ninety (90) business days after receipt of the Detailed Proposal, the city will notify the proposer in writing of the city's decision either to reject the Detailed Proposal, proceed with the Detailed Proposal for competitive review, or proceed with the Detailed Proposal without competitive review, unless this timeframe is extended as described below. During this time the city may meet with the proposer to gain a deeper understanding of the Detailed Proposal, and the city may request that the proposer submit additional information. These meetings will be preliminary in nature, and will not include or constitute substantive negotiation of agreement terms. In considering whether to accept the Detailed Proposal for competitive review, the city will assess whether:
 1. The proposed project meets the definition of a Qualifying Project;
 2. The proposed project is consistent with the legislative findings of the P3 Statute;
 3. The proposed project delivery model offers advantages over traditional models, for example, lower cost, shorter schedule, increased investment, etc.;
 3. The proposed project is reasonably likely to satisfy the criteria established by the city in this section; and
 4. The project proposal employs any of the financing mechanisms authorized under the P3 Statutes.
- b. The city may determine that it requires more than ninety (90) business days to complete State 1 review of the Detailed Proposal and this assessment, in which case it will notify the proposer in writing of how much time will be required.

(3) Stage 2 Review.

- a. Upon a determination that Stage 2 review is warranted by the city manager, any additional information necessary to complete the Stage 2 review shall be requested from the Proposer. This additional information may include, if not already provided, but is not limited to, the following:
 1. A detailed overview of the proposed project that illustrates the location, size, and context of the proposed project, including (as applicable) design concept renderings, site plan, elevations, and preliminary programming of facilities, including any mix of uses, square footage(s), total parking spaces, parking allocations;
 2. A statement setting forth the proposer's plans for developing, financing, constructing and/or operating and maintaining the project, including identification of any revenue, public or private proposed debt or equity investment proposed by the proposer. The financing plans shall address all means by which the costs of the project will be borne by persons other than the city;
 3. A statement setting forth the means by which the proposer will comply with F.S. § 287.055;
 4. The proposed schedule for development of the project and/or the proposed term for operation of the project, along with an estimate of the life cycle cost of the proposed project;
 5. A financial plan for the entire time period of the proposer's involvement in the project, including major assumptions, internal rate of return if government funds are assumed, and a total cash-flow analysis beginning with the implementation of the project and extending for the term of the proposed agreement;
 6. A list of all public utilities, navigable waters and flight paths, if any, that will be crossed or affected by the proposed project and a statement of the plan to accommodate such crossings or effects;
 7. A list of code variations or land use modifications that may be necessary for the proposed project;
 8. Performance guarantees, if any, and any proposed bonding to be provided by the proposer, including ability to provide statutorily required public construction bonds or performance and payment bonds for the construction of public facilities;
 9. A listing of all proposed obligations and requirements of the city and any other governmental agencies, including, but not limited to, contributions to the project financing, development approvals and permitting;
 10. Identification of whether the proposal involves turning over any operation, maintenance or other responsibilities to the city, along with an estimate of costs;
 11. Proposed safeguards to protect the city from additional costs or service disruption in the event of default or termination of contract;
 12. A statement on how the proposer will manage community outreach and input, and communications with all stakeholders; and,

13. The names of owners, directors, and officers of the proposer, and such information as may be necessary to evaluate the qualifications of the critical personnel to be engaged in the project.

b. After the any additional information requested is provided, the city manager, or designee, shall have the unsolicited proposal reviewed by qualified professionals in the relevant fields associated with the aspects of the proposed project for the purpose of determining that:

1. There is a public need for or benefit derived from the proposed project of the type that the proposer has proposed as the qualifying project;

2. The estimated cost of the qualifying project is reasonable in relation to similar facilities; and

3. The proposer's plans will result in the timely acquisition, design, construction, improvement, renovation, expansion, equipping, maintenance, or operation of the qualifying project.

c. If the project involves architecture, engineering, or landscape architecture, the city manager, or designee, shall ensure the Detailed Proposal is subject to a professional review and evaluation of the design and construction proposed by the initial or subsequent proposers to assure material quality standards, interior space utilization, budget estimates, design and construction schedules, and sustainable design and construction standards consistent with public projects. Such review shall be performed by an architect, a landscape architect, or an engineer licensed in the State of Florida qualified to perform the review, and such professional shall advise the city through completion of the design and construction of the project. If these services are required to be procured pursuant to F.S. § 287.055, the proposer shall be responsible for both the city's cost of procurement of the services and the actual cost to provide the review services.

(g) *Procurement Procedures.* The city will conduct Procurement Procedures in accordance with the P3 Statute prior to the award of an unsolicited proposal. It is in the city's sole discretion to determine whether to issue a solicitation as provided in subsection (1) or follow the alternate solicitation process in subsection (2) below.

(1) *Issuance of Solicitation.*

a. If upon the completion of the Stage 1 review, the city elects to accept other proposals for the same project, the city shall publish a notice in the Florida Administrative Register and a newspaper of general circulation, stating that it has received an unsolicited proposal and will accept competitive proposals ("Notice for Competing Proposals"). Competitive proposals shall be accepted at least 21 days but no more than 120 days after initial publication of the Notice unless otherwise determined by a majority vote of city council. The notice shall provide that the proposals shall be evaluated to be in the best interest of the city in accordance with the evaluation criteria included in the Notice for Competing Proposals. A copy of the notice must be mailed to each local government in the affected area.

b. If the proposed qualifying project includes design work, the Notice for Competing Proposals must include a design criteria package prepared by an architect, a landscape

architect, or an engineer licensed in the State of Florida, which is sufficient to allow competing proposers to prepare a response. The design criteria package must specify reasonably specific criteria for the qualifying project, which may include but are not limited to, the legal description of the site, with survey information; interior space requirements, material quality standards, schematic layouts and conceptual design criteria for the qualifying project, cost or budget estimates, design and construction schedules, and site development and utility requirements. The licensed design professional who prepares the design criteria package shall be retained to serve the city through completion of the design and construction of the project.

- c. Requirements of competing proposals. Proposals submitted pursuant to a Notice for Competing Proposals must include the content elements identified in subsection (d)(2) and pay the review fee specified in subsection (e). Nothing contained in this section shall prohibit the initial proposer from submitting a revised proposal provided that the revised proposal complies with the Notice for Competing
- d. Evaluation criteria. The selection of a proposer with whom to negotiate shall be based on the best value to the city. When performing an evaluation to determine best value to the city of the unsolicited proposal and the proposals received in response to a Notice for Competing Proposals, the city may consider factors that include, but are not limited to:

 - 1. General business terms, including the finance plans;
 - 2. Unique, innovative and meritorious methods, approaches, concepts, design techniques or cost reductions demonstrated by the proposal;
 - 3. Overall scientific, technical, or socioeconomic merits of the proposal;
 - 4. The proposer's capabilities, related experience, facilities, techniques, or unique combinations of these;
 - 5. The professional qualifications, capabilities, and experience of the proposer's team or key personnel critical to achieving the proposal objectives;
 - 6. The general reputation and financial condition of the proposer and its team members; the proposed finance plan; the financial viability and feasibility of the proposed project or facility; and the cost, if any, to the city to proceed with implementation of the proposal, including on-going operational or maintenance costs. The city may require the proposer to provide a technical study prepared by a nationally recognized expert with experience in preparing analysis for bond rating agencies; and,
 - 7. Any other information the city deems appropriate for evaluation of the proposed project or facility.
- e. Evaluation Committee ranking. An Evaluation Committee shall be appointed by the city manager for the purpose of evaluating and ranking the proposals. The city may seek the advice of internal staff or outside advisors, attorneys, consultants, or any combination thereof, with relevant experience for comprehensive evaluation of the proposal(s).

 - 1. Proposers may be invited to make oral presentations regarding their proposals. The Evaluation Committee shall rank the proposals in order of preference, utilizing the evaluation criteria included in the Notice for Competing Proposals.

2. The ranking of proposals is not subject to protest or appeal. The recommendations of the Evaluation Committee shall be submitted to the city manager.
3. In the event only one proposal is received, the city may proceed with the evaluation or reject the proposal, whichever is in the best interests of the city.
4. After reviewing the Evaluation Committee's recommendation, the city manager shall recommend to the city council to: (a) approve the recommendation of the Evaluation Committee, written notice of which shall be provided to all proposers; (b) reject the Evaluation Committee's recommendation and instruct the Evaluation Committee to re-evaluate and make further recommendations; or (c) reject all proposals.
- f. Council authorization to negotiate. The council, after reviewing the recommendation of the city manager, may authorize the city manager to:
 1. Proceed further with any of the submitted proposals;
 2. Only proceed to Phase 2 review for the original Detailed Proposal and reject all other proposals received by the city.
 3. Only proceed to Phase 2 review for a competitive proposal and reject the original Detailed Proposal and any other competitive proposals; or
 4. Proceed to Phase 2 review with any combination of the original Detailed Proposal and any competitive proposals.
- g. Notwithstanding the result of subsection f., no proposer is guaranteed the award of an Interim Agreement or Comprehensive Agreement as a result of being favorably ranked or selected. The ranking of proposals is not subject to protest or appeal.

(2) Alternate Solicitation Process.

- a. If the city elects to consider the Detailed Proposal without engaging in a public bidding process, after completion of Stage 2 Review the city shall hold a duly noticed public meeting at which the Detailed Proposal is presented and affected public entities and members of the public will be able to provide comment on the Detailed Proposal.
- b. After the first public hearing, the city shall hold a second duly noticed public hearing for city council to determine whether the Detailed Proposal is in the public's interest. In making this determination, the city must consider all of the following factors:
 1. The benefits to the public;
 2. The financial structure of, and the economic efficiencies achieved by the proposal;
 3. The qualifications and experience of the proposer and the proposer's ability to perform the project;
 4. The proposed project's compatibility with regional infrastructure plans;
 5. Public comments submitted at the prior meeting, including a statement of findings explaining why the proposal should proceed and addressing the public's comments.
- c. If the city chooses to proceed with a Detailed Proposal, the city shall publish in the Florida Administrative Register for at least seven days a report that includes all of the following:
 1. The public interest determination required by subsection (2)b. above;

2. The factors considered in making such public interest determination; and
 3. The city's findings based on each considered factor.
 - d. All proposals considered under this alternate solicitation process shall be subject to all provisions of this ordinance except subsection (g)(1).
- (3) *Rejection of proposal.* If a Detailed Proposal, or competing proposal received after public notice, is not deemed by the city to be complete, or in sufficient detail, or in the best interests of the city, it may be rejected at the sole discretion of the city manager. The city shall have no responsibility to itemize or advise the proposer of the incomplete items or terms of the proposal. The rejection of a proposal shall create no rights in the proposer, and such decision is not subject to protest or appeal. The city, in its sole discretion, reserves the right to reject all proposals at any point in the process prior to the full execution of an Interim Agreement or Comprehensive Agreement with a proposer.
- (4) *Negotiation and approval of Interim and Comprehensive Agreement.*
 - a. Interim Agreement. The city may elect to enter into an Interim Agreement, allowing a proposer to perform compensable preparatory activities related to the Qualifying Project, such as due diligence activities to assess the Qualifying Project's feasibility. The city shall not be bound to enter into a Comprehensive Agreement merely because it entered into an Interim Agreement. The scope of an Interim Agreement may include, but not be limited to:
 1. Project planning and development;
 2. Design and engineering;
 3. Environmental analysis and mitigation;
 4. Surveying;
 5. Ascertaining the availability of financing for the Qualifying Project;
 6. Conducting geotechnical investigations of subsurface conditions at the Qualifying Project site;
 7. Setting the timing of the negotiation of the Comprehensive Agreement; and
 8. Any other provisions related to any aspect of the development or operation of a Qualifying Project that the parties deem appropriate prior to executing a Comprehensive Agreement.
 - b. Prior to developing or operating the Qualifying Project, the proposer must enter into a Comprehensive Agreement with the city. In deciding whether to enter into a Comprehensive Agreement, the city council shall consider and determine all reasonable factors, including but not limited to:
 1. Whether the proposed project is a Qualifying Project.
 2. Whether the Qualifying Project is in the public's best interest.
 3. Whether the Qualifying Project involves a facility that is owned by the city or for a facility for which ownership will be conveyed to the city. For a proposed project that was unsolicited, where ownership will not be conveyed to the city within 10 years after

initial public operation begins, the public benefits apart from ownership must be identified and stated by the city in the public interest determination required in subsection (g)(2)b.

4. Whether the Comprehensive Agreement has adequate safeguards in place to ensure that additional costs or service disruptions are not imposed on the public in the event of material default by the proposer or cancellation of the qualifying project by the city.
5. Whether the Comprehensive Agreement has adequate safeguards in place to ensure that the city or the private entity has the opportunity to add capacity to the Qualifying Project or other facilities serving predominately public purposes.
6. Whether there is a public need for or benefit derived from the Qualifying Project.
7. Whether the estimated cost of the Qualifying Project is reasonable in relation to similar facilities.
8. Whether the Comprehensive Agreement will result in the timely acquisition, design, construction, improvement, renovation, expansion, equipping, maintenance, or operation of the Qualifying Project.

c. Before entering into a Comprehensive Agreement, the city shall have considered the finance plan, the Qualifying Project cost, revenues by sources, available financing, major assumptions, internal rate of return on private investments, if governmental funds are assumed in order to deliver a cost-feasible project, and a total cash-flow analysis beginning with the implementation of the project and extending for the term of the comprehensive agreement, and any other matters which are set forth in the P3 Statute.

d. If an unsolicited proposal involves the use of any federal or state funds or land procured using federal or state funds, in whole or in part, the award and execution of an Interim Agreement or Comprehensive Agreement relating to the unsolicited proposal is contingent upon the satisfaction of any applicable federal or state requirements and the federal or state agency's approval.

(h) *Public records.* Proposal documents received by the city are public records under F.S. ch. 119 subject to any exemption otherwise provided by law. If a proposer believes that any information it submits to the city is exempt from the public records law under any statutory exemptions, the proposer must expressly identify the statutory basis of the claimed exemption and segregate the exempt information.

(i) *Conflict.* In the event that a provision of this section is in conflict with applicable state law, state law will prevail.

Secs. 2-228 - 2-239. – Reserved.

SECTION 3. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith shall be and the same are hereby repealed.

SECTION 4. If any part, section, subsection, or other portion of this ordinance or any application thereof to any person or circumstance is declared void, unconstitutional, or invalid for any reason, such part, section, subsection, or other portion, or the prescribed application thereof, shall be severable, and the remaining provisions of this ordinance, and all applications thereof not having been declared void, unconstitutional, or invalid, shall remain in full force and effect.

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall become effective immediately upon its approval and adoption, as provided by law.

PASSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF VENICE, FLORIDA THIS 13th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026.

First Reading: September 22, 2026

Second Reading: October 13, 2026

Adoption: October 13, 2026

Nick Pachota, Mayor

ATTEST:

Kelly Michaels, MMC, City Clerk

I, Kelly Michaels, MMC, City Clerk of the City of Venice, Florida, a municipal corporation in Sarasota County, Florida, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full and complete, true and correct copy of an Ordinance duly adopted by the City of Venice Council, a meeting thereof duly convened and held on the 13th day of October 2026, a quorum being present.

WITNESS my hand and the official seal of said City this 13th day of October, 2026.

Kelly Michaels, MMC, City Clerk

Approved as to form:

Kelly Fernandez, City Attorney