MEETING DATE

January 9, 2017

Subject: Ordinance- City of Maitland Wetland Protection



AGENDA

Section: Decisions

Item #: 4

Department/Office: Public Works

Requested Action or Motion: Move to accept the Lakes Advisory Board's recommendation and direct staff to move forward the Wetlands Protection Ordinance-Option B which includes the Environmental Improvement Trust Fund.

Summary Explanation & Background:

On December 6, 2016, the City of Maitland's Lakes Advisory Board discussed two options of the Wetlands Protection Ordinance. Option A included the step-wise protection of wetlands which are impacted by any proposed development in or near the wetlands. Option B included the same steps and also included the sections for the Environmental Improvement Trust Fund. (The yellow highlighted sections indicate the different wording and sections included in Option B).

Due to the question of the defensibility of the Environmental Improvement Trust Fund fees and the limited potential of mitigation funds to be recovered, staff recommended that the Lakes Advisory Board make a recommendation to City Council to approve Option A of the Wetlands Protection Ordinance.

After discussing the two options and getting information from Drew Smith of the City attorney's office regarding the advantages and disadvantages of each option, the Board felt it was better to include the Environmental Improvement Trust Fund in the initial ordinance and determine, through resolution, how to implement the fees for the mitigation of adversely impacted wetlands.

The Lakes Advisory Board unanimously approved the recommendation to City Council for the approval of the Wetlands Protection Ordinance Option B which includes the Environmental Improvement Trust Fund.

As requested by Council, a comparison of the Maitland Wetlands Protection Ordinance and the Orange County Wetlands Conservation Ordinance was done by Drew Smith of the City Attorney's office to determine whether any preemptions existed. His review determined that there were no preemptions within the Orange County ordinance and that the City's ordinance would prevail over the county's.

Additionally, a copy of the Lakes and Wetlands Map from the Comprehensive Development Plan is attached. This is a generalized map compiled from 2010 data provided from the Orange County Property Appraiser and U.S. fish and Wildlife Service, National Wetland Inventory. As noted on the map, this is a generalized depiction of potential wetland areas and not intended for regulatory use. Wetlands boundaries are determined based upon site specific surveys conducted by certified

environmental professionals.

If Council accepts the Lake Advisory Board recommendation and directs staff to move forward with Option B of the Wetlands Protection Ordinance, the next step would be a Planning & Zoning Commission public hearing.

Fiscal Impact:

N/A

Exhibits: Wetland Protection Ordinance - Option BLAB Approved 12-6-16 (DOCX)
Wetland Protection Ordinance - Option A (DOCX)
Staff report to LAB for Wetlands Ordinance
(DOCX)
LAB Minutes 12-6-16 (PDF)
Wetland Map (PDF)

Commission/Board: Lakes Advisory Board

Contact Person: Paul Ritter, Stormwater & Lake Manager

Manager

Phone #: 407-539-6203

Reviewed by City Attorney

____X_Yes ____ N/A

2

City of Maitland

Wetlands Protection Ordinance

Ordinance Option as Recommended by Lakes Advisory Board With Environmental Improvement Trust Fund

ORDINANCE NO.	2017-XXXX
---------------	-----------

3	ORDINANCE NO. 2017-XXXX
4	AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF MAITLAND, AMENDING
5	CHAPTER 8, ARTICLE IV OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE
6	CITY OF MAITLAND; PROVIDING FOR THE PROTECTION OF
7	WETLANDS; PROVIDING FOR THE DELINEATION OF WETLAND
8	PROTECTION ZONES; REGULATING DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES
9	OCCURRING WITHIN WETLAND PROTECTION ZONES; PROVIDING
10	FOR MITIGATION OF ADVERSE IMPACTS TO WETLANDS;
11	PROVIDING FOR THE CREATION OF AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENT TRUST FUND; PROVIDING FOR ENFORCEMENT
12 13	AND APPEALS OF ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS; PROVIDING FOR
14	CODIFICATION, SEVERABILITY, CONFLICTS, AND AN EFFECTIVE
15	DATE.
16	
17	WHEREAS, wetlands are important natural resources that help maintain water quality,
18	prevent hazardous flooding and promote biological and ecological diversity; and
19	WHEREAS, unfettered development on or near wetlands can adversely impact the
20	natural and built environments; and
21	WHEREAS, the Lakes Advisory Board of the City of Maitland studied and discussed the
22	potential loss of wetlands within the City associated with development activities; and
23	WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Maitland determines that protection of
24	wetlands is necessary and critical to the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of Maitland;
25	and
26	WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Maitland determines that development on or
27	adjacent to wetlands requires specific design and permitting to be reviewed by staff to ensure
28	minimal environmental impacts; and
29	WHEREAS, The Planning and Zoning Commission sitting as the Local Planning
30	Agency and City Council of the City of Maitland determine that wetland protection is consistent
31	with the City's Comprehensive Plan's goals, objectives and policies; and

WHEREAS, The City Council of the City of Maitland, has the authority to regulate

33	development within	wetlands	pursuant to	Chapter	163,	Part II,	Florida	Statutes.
----	--------------------	----------	-------------	---------	------	----------	---------	-----------

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ENACTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MAITLAND, FLORIDA, AS FOLLOWS:

- **SECTION 1. Recitals Adopted.** The foregoing "WHEREAS" clauses are hereby ratified and confirmed as being true and correct and are hereby made a specific part of this Ordinance upon adoption hereof.
- 39 **SECTION 2**. Chapter 8, Article IV of the City of Maitland Code of Ordinances, is 40 hereby amended to include Sections 8-23 - 32 as follows:

41 Sec. 8-23. Title and Purpose.

34

35

36

37

38

48

49

50

51

52

53

- 42 (a) This ordinance shall be known as the "Wetlands Protection Ordinance of the City 43 of Maitland, Florida."
- 44 (b) In accordance with the City of Maitland's 2030 Comprehensive Development 45 Plan Conservation Element, the City hereby adopts wetlands impact regulations to protect, 46 preserve and enhance the natural functions of wetlands within the City and prevent or mitigate 47 activities which degrade or destroy the function of wetlands.
 - (c) It is the purpose and intent of this regulation to provide for the protection, maintenance and utilization of wetlands within the City, recognizing the rights of individual property owners to use their lands in a reasonable manner as well as the right of all citizens to protection and purity of the waters of the City and their associated wetland ecosystems.
 - (d) It is the policy of the City to minimize the disturbance of wetlands in the City and to encourage their use only for the purposes which are compatible with their natural functions and environmental benefits.

- (e) Wetlands serve important functions in the hydrologic cycle and ecological system including but not limited to:
 - (1) Wetlands provide temporary storage of surface waters during times of flood, thereby regulating flood elevations and the timing, velocity and rate of flood discharges;
 - (2) Wetlands protect water bodies by providing settling of suspended sediments, assimilation of nutrients, and uptake of other natural and manmade pollutants. Wetland vegetation filters sediment, organic matter, and chemicals. Microorganisms utilize dissolved nutrients and break down organic matter;
 - (3) Wetlands provide essential breeding and predator escape habitats for many forms of mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, fishes, and invertebrates;
 - (4) Wetlands provide essential habitat for many rare, endangered, and threatened species.
- (f) It is further the purpose and intent of these regulations to ensure that there is no unavoidable net loss of wetlands function and acreage within the City.
- (g) The City intends to create a program for mitigation of unavoidable adverse impacts by replacing or providing substitute resources or environments through the creation of new wetlands, enhancing existing wetlands, reestablishing wetlands which are no longer functioning due to significant alteration in the past, and/or providing like-kind mitigation funds into the City of Maitland's Environmental Improvement Trust Fund.

Sec. 8-24. Wetland Identification.

(a) Wetland boundaries shall be delineated in the field according to the state unified wetland delineation methodology. This delineation determines the final jurisdictional location

- and extent of wetlands and shall occur prior to any alteration on a site on which wetlands may be located. Prior to any developmental actions that are likely to impact the wetland protection zone, the applicant shall have the wetland line delineated by a wetland professional and submit a wetland management plan prepared by the same wetland professional. The wetland management plan shall be submitted to and reviewed by the City.
- (b) All lands within wetland boundaries delineated as provided herein and within twenty-five (25) feet landward of such wetland boundaries shall be considered to be within a wetland protection zone.
- (c) All applications for development within a wetland protection zone shall identify the following items on the submitted site plan.
 - (1) The location of all wetlands located on the property to be developed and all wetlands located within 300 feet of the property to be developed;
 - (2) The delineated wetland line as set forth in subsection (a) above;
 - (3) The wetland protection zone as set forth in subsection (b) above;
 - (4) The 100-year floodplain line (where applicable);
 - (5) The normal high water line (NHWL) (where applicable);
 - (6) Pre-development hydrological connections between adjacent ditches, culverts, drainage easements or swales onsite and offsite;
- 96 (7) The location of any protected species adjacent to or on site on or adjacent to the site.

Sec. 8-25. Restrictions on Development.

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

98

99

100

The following performance standards shall be required for all development within wetland protection zones:

- (1) Any alteration of a wetland protection zone shall be mitigated as provided herein:
 - (2) The wetland protection zones shall be protected from sedimentation during development activities through the use of appropriate sediment and erosion control measures;
 - (3) There shall be no temporary filling of the wetland protection zones;
 - (4) Clearing or removal of native vegetation shall not occur within any wetland protection zone except in compliance with an approved wetland management plan;
 - (5) Any structure permitted within a wetland protection zone shall be designed to accommodate surface water flows and shall not impede, interrupt or impound surface water flows;
 - (6) Dredge and fill activities shall be prohibited within wetland protection zones except where the applicant has demonstrated that such activity will not negatively impact water quality, natural functions, or endangered species habitat. Receipt of a permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and/or the Florida Department of Environmental Protection and/or the St. Johns River Water Management District authorizing all proposed dredge and fill activities shall constitute demonstration of compliance with this standard.

Sec. 8-26. Exemptions.

The following activities are exempt from the required wetland management plan and wetland alteration permit required herein:

- (1) Non-mechanical removal of non-native or invasive wetland or buffer vegetation, provided the vegetation is removed from the wetland and disposed of on a suitable upland site outside of a wetland protection zone;
- (2) Maintenance of existing structures that does not result in additional damage to the wetland protection zone;
- (3) Clearing of walking trails that do not require fill and that do not exceed four feet in width;
 - (4) Overhead utility crossings;

- (5) Maintenance and incidental dredge and fill activities in ditches, retention and detention areas, public roads and other rights-of-way, and other related drainage systems;
- (6) Development where a federal, state, regional, or local agency completed dredge and fill or wetland application was tendered to said government on or before the adoption of this ordinance or where said agencies have previously determined that no permit was required for a proposed development within one year prior to the adoption of this ordinance. If a person is aggrieved by the decision of the City on this issue, said person may appeal directly to the City Council;
- (7) Construction or alteration of a single-family dwelling not located within a wetland protection zone which does not alter by removing, filling, draining, dredging, clearing or destroying any wetland protection zone.

Sec. 8-27. City Wetlands Alteration Permit Requirements.

144	(a)	Excep	t as otl	herwise provided herein, it is hereby unlawful for any person to
145	engage in any	activity	y which	will remove, fill, drain, dredge, clear, destroy, or alter any wetland
146	protection zor	ne as de	fined he	erein without obtaining a Wetlands Alteration Permit from the City.
147	(b)	An ap	plicatio	n for a Wetlands Alteration Permit and a non-refundable processing
148	fee, as set by	resolut	ion of	the City Council, shall be filed with the City's Lakes Management
149	Department.			
150	(c)	An ap	plicatio	on for a Wetlands Alteration Permit shall be submitted with the
151	following info	ormation	n:	
152		(1)	Name	, address, and phone number for the property owner and/or agent;
153		(2)	Signat	cure of agent or owner;
154		(3)	A cop	y of all other federal, state, and regional permits and/or applications
155	and co	onditions	s issued	for the proposed project;
156		(4)	If not	included in the permits and/or applications above, the following
157	items	must be	provid	ed:
158			(a)	Legal description of property, including the property appraiser's
159		parcel	numbe	r;
160			(b)	A scale drawing of the property identifying existing structures,
161		adjace	nt stree	ts, and water bodies;
162			(c)	A scaled drawing and description of the proposed activity and
163		locatio	on and a	description of procedures to be utilized during the activity;
164			(d)	A wetland management plan that states the following:

105		(1) A plan for the control of erosion, sedimentation and
166		turbidity during and after construction which describes in detail the type
167		and location of control measures, and provisions of maintenance;
168		(ii) Geographic relationship and hydrologic connection
169		between the assessment area and any contiguous wetland or other surface
170		waters, or uplands, as applicable;
171		(iii) Functions performed by the wetlands located within the
172		wetland protection zone, such as providing cover, substrate, and refuge;
173		breeding, nesting, denning, and nursery areas for existing and migratory
174		species;
175		(iv) Applicable listing classifications (threatened, endangered,
176		or species of special concern as defined by applicable state laws and
177		regulations) of the species currently present and those migratory species
178		that utilize the site.
179	Sec. 8-28.	Standards for Review.
180	(a)	Review criteria. In determining whether proposed development is permissible, the
181	City shall cor	nsider the following criteria:
182		(1) The ability of the wetland to receive, store and discharge surface water
183	runof	f so as to contribute to hydrological stability and control of flooding and erosion;
184		(2) The ability of the wetland to recharge the groundwater as demonstrated by
185	reliab	le available information;
186		(3) The ability of the wetland to provide filtration and nutrient assimilation

from surface water runoff;

(4) The ability of the wetland to provide habitat and significant ecological function in the life cycle for fish, wildlife, or other forms of animal or plant life;

- (5) The ability of the wetland to function as an integral part of any waters, water body, or watercourse;
- (6) The cumulative impacts of the proposed development on the wetland system in combination with other developments which have been permitted or constructed in the same drainage basin;
- (7) The technical feasibility of any proposed wetland mitigation plan and the likelihood of their success in restoring or replacing the environmental benefit altered by the development;
- (8) The capacity of the existing wetland to provide environmental benefits because of such factors as maturity, size, degree of prior alteration, physical relationship to other water systems, and adjacent land uses;
- (9) The degree or magnitude of the impact of the proposed alteration on the wetland and how such impact shall be minimized through mitigation measures, either offsite or on-site, or both, and recommendations concerning the appropriate location of said mitigation;
- (10) Whether and the extent to which a proposed project must be located within a wetland or water body in order to perform the project's basic functions;
- (11) Whether the wetlands impacted by the proposed activity are protected or used in a manner which does not adversely impact their beneficial functions as provided in this section 8-28;

210	(12)	The ability	of the	wetland to	continue t	to function	after	development	is
211	completed;								

- (13) Whether the proposed project and the wetland impacts are consistent with the policies in the comprehensive plan.
- (b) If the application meets the requirements provided herein, the City shall issue the permit and may attach such appropriate conditions to said permit in order to ensure the development complies with the requirements of this ordinance. The City may deny the permit if it does not meet such standards and shall state in writing the reasons therefore.
- (c) Review process. All of the following actions shall be considered in the following order when a wetland protection zone will be impacted during proposed development:
 - (1) Avoiding the impact altogether by not taking a certain action or parts of an action;
 - (2) Minimizing impacts by limiting the degree or magnitude of the action or its implementation;
 - (3) Rectifying the impact by repairing, rehabilitating, or restoring the affected environment:
 - (4) Reducing or eliminating the impact over time by preservation and maintenance operations during the life of the action;
 - (5) On-site mitigation of the impact by replacing or providing substitute resources or environments through creation of new wetlands, enhancement of existing wetlands, or reestablishment of wetlands which are no longer functioning due to significant alteration in the past;

232		(6)	Depositing mitigation fees into the City of Maitland's Environmental
233	Improv	vement	Trust Fund as provided herein.
234	Sec. 8-29.	Mitig	ration Ratios.
235	In dete	erminir	ng the replacement acreage ratios for restored or created wetlands and their
236	associated buf	fer zor	nes, the City shall consider, but not be limited to the following criteria:
237		(1)	The length of time that can be expected to lapse before the functions of the
238	impact	ted wet	lands functions have been restored or offset;
239		(2)	Any special designation or classification of the water body, including
240	Outsta	nding l	Florida Waters, aquatic preserves, or class II;
241		(3)	The type of wetland to be created and the likelihood of successfully
242	creatin	g that	type of wetland;
243		(4)	Whether or not the affected wetland is functioning as natural, healthy
244	wetlan	d of th	at type;
245		(5)	Whether the wetland is unique for that watershed;
246		(6)	The presence or absence of exotic or nuisance plants within the wetland
247	and ad	verse e	effects those plants have on the wetland's beneficial functions;
248		(7)	Whether the proposed project eliminates or changes the wetland from one
249	type to	anoth	er;
250		(8)	The amount and quality of upland habitat preserved as conservation areas
251	or buff	fer.	
252	Sec. 8-30.	Envir	conmental Improvement Trust Fund.
253	(a)	The (City may designate and attempt to purchase, or otherwise acquire, lands
254	within each	waters]	hed and/or sub-basin, which are suitable for the creation, acquisition,

- restoration or preservation of wetlands or wetland habitat systems, including adjacent upland habitat. The purpose of such designation is to provide areas suitable for the off-site mitigation of the impacts of wetland alteration.
- (b) In the event that successful avoidance or minimization is not likely to offset unavoidable impacts, or in cases where an impact has occurred within the wetland protection zone without the required permits, then the proposed development shall work with the appropriate state agencies and the City to pursue approved mitigation measures through, but not limited to, the acquisition of mitigation credits from an approved mitigation bank and/or through the deposit of mitigation fees into the City of Maitland's Environmental Improvement Trust Fund.
 - (c) A mitigation fee schedule shall be established by resolution of the city council.
- (d) Mitigation fees deposited into the City of Maitland's Environmental Improvement Trust Fund shall be used solely for the purpose of purchasing, improving, creating, restoring and managing wetlands within the City. The fund may be utilized in concert with other funding sources for the purposes required under this subsection.

Sec. 8-31. Violations, Penalties, and Stop Work Orders.

- (a) Whenever evidence has been obtained or received establishing that a violation of this chapter, or any rules or regulations adopted pursuant to this chapter has occurred, the City shall have the power and authority to issue a stop work order requiring the violator to cease the activity or suspend operation of the facility causing the violation until the violation has been investigated by the appropriate agencies.
- (b) The City may require that the owner/developer submit a Wetlands Alteration Permit, which shall include a wetland mitigation plan in accordance with this chapter.

(c) Review of the Wetland Alteration Permit shall follow the procedures set forth in this chapter. If a condition for approval is the requirement for the payment of mitigation fees to the City of Maitland's Environmental Improvement Trust Fund and/or corrective actions, then payment and/or corrective actions shall occur within 20 days of the issued Wetland Alteration Permit. If the payment and/or corrective actions have not occurred within the specified time allowed, then the violation shall be submitted to the City's Code Enforcement Department for further action.

Sec. 8-32. Appeals to Development Review Committee.

Any administrative decisions made pursuant to this ordinance may be appealed by an adversely affected party in writing within 30 days of the rendering of said decision to the Development Review Committee in accordance with Chapter 4.7, Article IV of the Code of Ordinances.

SECTION 3. CODIFICATION. It is the intent of the City Council of the City of Maitland that the provisions of this Ordinance shall be codified. The codifier is granted broad and liberal authority in codifying the provisions of this Ordinance.

SECTION 4. SEVERABILITY. If any section, sentence, phrase, word or portion of this Ordinance is determined to be invalid, unlawful or unconstitutional, said determination shall not be held to invalidate or impair the validity, force or effect of any other section, sentence, phrase, word or portion of this Ordinance not otherwise determined to be invalid, unlawful or unconstitutional.

SECTION 5. CONFLICTS. In any case where a provision of this Ordinance is found to be in conflict with a provision of any other ordinance of this City, the provision which

300	establishes the higher standards for the promotion and protection of the health and safety of the
301	people shall prevail.
302	SECTION 6. EFFECTIVE DATE. This Ordinance shall become effective upo
303	adoption.
304	ADOPTED by the City Council of the City of Maitland, Florida, this day of
305	, 2017.
306	CITY OF MAITLAND, FLORIDA
307	
308	
309	
310	By:A. DALE MCDONALD, MAYOR
311 312	A. DALE MCDONALD, MAYOR
313	
314	Attest:
315	MARIA WALDROP, CITY CLERK

2

City of Maitland

Wetlands Protection Ordinance-A

Ordinance Option Without the Environmental Improvement Trust Fund

~	
•	

4	ORDINANCE NO. 2017-XXXX
5	AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF MAITLAND, AMENDING
6	CHAPTER 8, ARTICLE IV OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE
7	CITY OF MAITLAND; PROVIDING FOR THE PROTECTION OF
8	WETLANDS; PROVIDING FOR THE DELINEATION OF WETLAND PROTECTION ZONES; REGULATING DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES
9	OCCURRING WITHIN WETLAND PROTECTION ZONES; PROVIDING
10 11	FOR MITIGATION OF ADVERSE IMPACTS TO WETLANDS;
12	PROVIDING FOR ENFORCEMENT AND APPEALS OF
13	ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS; PROVIDING FOR CODIFICATION,
14	SEVERABILITY, CONFLICTS, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE.
15	~_ ·
16	WHEREAS, wetlands are important natural resources that help maintain water quality,
17	prevent hazardous flooding and promote biological and ecological diversity; and
18	WHEREAS, unfettered development on or near wetlands can adversely impact the
19	natural and built environments; and
20	WHEREAS, the Lakes Advisory Board of the City of Maitland studied and discussed the
21	potential loss of wetlands within the City associated with development activities; and
22	WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Maitland determines that protection of
23	wetlands is necessary and critical to the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of Maitland;
24	and
25	WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Maitland determines that development on or
26	adjacent to wetlands requires specific design and permitting to be reviewed by staff to ensure
27	minimal environmental impacts; and
28	WHEREAS, The Planning and Zoning Commission sitting as the Local Planning
29	Agency and City Council of the City of Maitland determine that wetland protection is consistent
30	with the

21	City's	Compre	hanciva	Dlan'	e goale	ohio	tivac	and	nolicias	and
3 I	CILV S	Comple	nensive	Pian	s goals.	objec	uves	anu	poncies.	and

- WHEREAS, The City Council of the City of Maitland, has the authority to regulate development within wetlands pursuant to Chapter 163, Part II, *Florida Statutes*.
- NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ENACTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY
- 35 OF MAITLAND, FLORIDA, AS FOLLOWS:
- 36 **SECTION 1. Recitals Adopted.** The foregoing "WHEREAS" clauses are hereby ratified and confirmed as being true and correct and are hereby made a specific part of this Ordinance upon adoption hereof.
- 39 **SECTION 2.** Chapter 8, Article IV of the City of Maitland Code of Ordinances, is 40 hereby amended to include Sections 8-23 - 32 as follows:
- 41 Sec. 8-23. Title and Purpose.

45

46

47

48

49

50

- 42 (a) This ordinance shall be known as the "Wetlands Protection Ordinance of the City
 43 of Maitland, Florida."
 - (b) In accordance with the City of Maitland's 2030 Comprehensive Development Plan Conservation Element, the city hereby adopts wetlands impact regulations to protect, preserve and enhance the natural functions of wetlands within the city and prevent or mitigate activities which degrade or destroy the function of wetlands.
 - (c) It is the purpose and intent of this regulation to provide for the protection, maintenance, and utilization of wetlands within the city, recognizing the rights of individual property owners to use their lands in a reasonable manner as well as the right of all citizens to protection and purity of the waters of the city and their associated wetland ecosystems.

- (d) It is the policy of the city to minimize the disturbance of wetlands in the city and to encourage their use only for the purposes which are compatible with their natural functions and environmental benefits.
- (e) Wetlands serve important functions in the hydrologic cycle and ecological system including but not limited to:
 - (1) Wetlands provide temporary storage of surface waters during times of flood, thereby regulating flood elevations and the timing, velocity and rate of flood discharges;
 - (2) Wetlands protect water bodies by providing settling of suspended sediments, assimilation of nutrients, and uptake of other natural and manmade pollutants. Wetland vegetation filters sediment, organic matter, and chemicals. Microorganisms utilize dissolved nutrients and break down organic matter;
 - (3) Wetlands provide essential breeding and predator escape habitats for many forms of mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, fishes, and invertebrates;
 - (4) Wetlands provide essential habitat for many rare, endangered, and threatened species.
- (f) It is further the purpose and intent of these regulations to ensure that there is no unavoidable net loss of wetlands function and acreage within the city.

Sec. 8-24. Wetland identification.

(a) Wetland boundaries shall be delineated in the field according to the state unified wetland delineation methodology. This delineation determines the final jurisdictional location and extent of wetlands and shall occur prior to any alteration on a site on which wetlands may be located. Prior to any developmental actions that are likely to impact the wetland protection zone,

the applicant shall have the wetland line delineated by a wetland professional and submit a
wetland management plan prepared by the same wetland professional. The wetland management
plan shall be submitted to and reviewed by the City.

- (b) All lands within wetland boundaries delineated as provided herein and within twenty-five (25) feet landward of such wetland boundaries shall be considered to be within a wetland protection zone.
- (c) All applications for development within a wetland protection zone shall identify the following items on the submitted site plan.
 - (1) The location of all wetlands located on the property to be developed and all wetlands located within 300 feet of the property to be developed;
 - (2) The delineated wetland line as set forth in subsection (a) above;
 - (3) The wetland protection zone as set forth in subsection (b) above;
 - (4) The 100-year floodplain line (where applicable);
 - (5) The normal high water line (NHWL) (where applicable);
- 89 (6) Pre-development hydrological connections between adjacent ditches, 90 culverts, drainage easements or swales onsite and offsite;
- 91 (7) The location of any protected species adjacent to or on site on or adjacent 92 to the site.

Sec. 8-25. Restrictions on development.

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

93

94

95

The following performance standards shall be required for all development within wetland protection zones:

96 (1) Any alteration of a wetland protection zone shall be mitigated as provided 97 herein;

- (2) The wetland protection zones shall be protected from sedimentation during development activities through the use of appropriate sediment and erosion control measures;
 - (3) There shall be no temporary filling of the wetland protection zones;
- (4) Clearing or removal of native vegetation shall not occur within any wetland protection zone except in compliance with an approved wetland management plan;
- (5) Any structure permitted within a wetland protection zone shall be designed to accommodate surface water flows and shall not impede, interrupt or impound surface water flows:
- (6) Dredge and fill activities shall be prohibited within wetland protection zones except where the applicant has demonstrated that such activity will not negatively impact water quality, natural functions, or endangered species habitat. Receipt of a permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and/or the Florida Department of Environmental Protection and/or the St. Johns River Water Management District authorizing all proposed dredge and fill activities shall constitute demonstration of compliance with this standard.

Sec. 8-26. Exemptions.

The following activities are exempt from the required wetland management plan and wetland alteration permit required herein:

(1) Non-mechanical removal of non-native or invasive wetland or buffer vegetation, provided the vegetation is removed from the wetland and disposed of on a suitable upland site outside of a wetland protection zone;

- (2) Maintenance of existing structures that does not result in additional damage to the wetland protection zone;
- (3) Clearing of walking trails that do not require fill and that do not exceed four feet in width;
 - (4) Overhead utility crossings;

- (5) Maintenance and incidental dredge and fill activities in ditches, retention and detention areas, public roads and other rights-of-way, and other related drainage systems;
- (6) Development where a federal, state, regional, or local agency completed dredge and fill or wetland application was tendered to said government on or before the adoption of this ordinance or where said agencies have previously determined that no permit was required for a proposed development within one year prior to the adoption of this ordinance. If a person is aggrieved by the decision of the city on this issue, said person may appeal directly to the City Council;
- (7) Construction or alteration of a single-family dwelling not located within a wetland protection zone which does not alter by removing, filling, draining, dredging, clearing or destroying any wetland protection zone.

Sec. 8-27. City wetlands permit requirements.

(a) Except as otherwise provided herein, it is hereby unlawful for any person to engage in any activity which will remove, fill, drain, dredge, clear, destroy, or alter any wetland protection zone as defined herein without obtaining a Wetland Alteration Permit from the City.

142	(b)	An application	on for a Wetland Alteration Permit and a non-refundable processing	
143	fee, as set by	resolution of	the City Council, shall be filed with the City's Lakes Management	
144	Department.			
145	(c)	An applicati	on for a Wetlands Alteration Permit shall be submitted with the	
146	following info	ng information:		
147		(1) Name	e, address, and phone number for the property owner and/or agent;	
148		(2) Signa	ture of agent or owner;	
149		(3) A cop	by of all other federal, state, and regional permits and/or applications	
150	and co	onditions issue	d for the proposed project;	
151		(4) If no	t included in the permits and/or applications above, the following	
152	items	must be provid	led:	
153		(a)	Legal description of property, including the property appraiser's	
154		parcel number	er;	
155		(b)	A scale drawing of the property identifying existing structures,	
156		adjacent stree	ets, and water bodies;	
157		(c)	A scaled drawing and description of the proposed activity and	
158		location and	a description of procedures to be utilized during the activity;	
159		(d)	A wetland management plan that states the following:	
160			(i) A plan for the control of erosion, sedimentation and	
161		turbio	lity during and after construction which describes in detail the type	
162		and lo	ocation of control measures, and provisions of maintenance;	

163		(ii) Geographic relationship and hydrologic connection				
164		between the assessment area and any contiguous wetland or other surface				
165		waters, or uplands, as applicable;				
166		(iii) Functions performed by the wetlands located within the				
167		wetland protection zone, such as providing cover, substrate, and refuge;				
168	breeding, nesting, denning, and nursery areas for existing and migratory					
169		species;				
170		(iv) Applicable listing classifications (threatened, endangered,				
171		or species of special concern as defined by applicable state laws and				
172		regulations) of the species currently present and those migratory species				
173		that utilize the site.				
174	Sec. 8-28. Stand	dards for review.				
174 175		dards for review. ew criteria. In determining whether proposed development is permissible, the				
	(a) Revie					
175	(a) Revie	ew criteria. In determining whether proposed development is permissible, the				
175 176	(a) Review City shall consider to (1)	ew criteria. In determining whether proposed development is permissible, the he following criteria:				
175 176 177	(a) Review (1) runoff so as a	ew criteria. In determining whether proposed development is permissible, the he following criteria: The ability of the wetland to receive, store and discharge surface water				
175 176 177 178	(a) Review (1) City shall consider to (1) runoff so as (2)	ew criteria. In determining whether proposed development is permissible, the he following criteria: The ability of the wetland to receive, store and discharge surface water to contribute to hydrological stability and control of flooding and erosion;				
175 176 177 178 179	(a) Review (1) City shall consider to (1) runoff so as (2)	ew criteria. In determining whether proposed development is permissible, the he following criteria: The ability of the wetland to receive, store and discharge surface water to contribute to hydrological stability and control of flooding and erosion; The ability of the wetland to recharge the groundwater as demonstrated by				
175 176 177 178 179 180	(a) Review (b) City shall consider to (1) runoff so as (2) reliable avail (3)	ew criteria. In determining whether proposed development is permissible, the he following criteria: The ability of the wetland to receive, store and discharge surface water to contribute to hydrological stability and control of flooding and erosion; The ability of the wetland to recharge the groundwater as demonstrated by lable information;				
175 176 177 178 179 180 181	(a) Review (b) City shall consider to (1) runoff so as (2) reliable avail (3)	ew criteria. In determining whether proposed development is permissible, the he following criteria: The ability of the wetland to receive, store and discharge surface water to contribute to hydrological stability and control of flooding and erosion; The ability of the wetland to recharge the groundwater as demonstrated by lable information; The ability of the wetland to provide filtration and nutrient assimilation				

(5) The ability of the wetland to function as an integral part of any waters, water body, or watercourse;

- (6) The cumulative impacts of the proposed development on the wetland system in combination with other developments which have been permitted or constructed in the same drainage basin;
- (7) The technical feasibility of any proposed wetland mitigation plan and the likelihood of their success in restoring or replacing the environmental benefit altered by the development;
- (8) The capacity of the existing wetland to provide environmental benefits because of such factors as maturity, size, degree of prior alteration, physical relationship to other water systems, and adjacent land uses;
- (9) The degree or magnitude of the impact of the proposed alteration on the wetland and how such impact shall be minimized through mitigation measures, and recommendations concerning the appropriate location of said mitigation;
- (10) Whether and the extent to which a proposed project must be located within a wetland or water body in order to perform the project's basic functions;
- (11) Whether the wetlands impacted by the proposed activity are protected or used in a manner which does not adversely impact their beneficial functions as provided in this section 8-28;
- (12) The ability of the wetland to continue to function after development is completed;
- (13) Whether the proposed project and the wetland impacts are consistent with the policies in the comprehensive plan.

- (b) If the application meets the requirements provided herein the City shall issue the permit and may attach such appropriate conditions to said permit in order to ensure the development complies with the requirements of this ordinance. The City may deny the permit if it does not meet such standards and shall state in writing the reasons therefore.
- (c) Review process. All of the following actions shall be considered in the following order when a wetland protection zone will be impacted during proposed development:
 - (1) Avoiding the impact altogether by not taking a certain action or parts of an action:
 - (2) Minimizing impacts by limiting the degree or magnitude of the action or its implementation;
 - (3) Rectifying the impact by repairing, rehabilitating, or restoring the affected environment;
 - (4) Reducing or eliminating the impact over time by preservation and maintenance operations during the life of the action;
 - (5) On-site mitigation of the impact by replacing or providing substitute resources or environments through creation of new wetlands, enhancement of existing wetlands, or reestablishment of wetlands which are no longer functioning due to significant alteration in the past.

Sec. 8-29. Mitigation ratios.

In determining the replacement acreage ratios for restored or created wetlands and their associated buffer zones, the Ccity shall consider, but not be limited to the following criteria:

(1) The length of time that can be expected to lapse before the functions of the impacted wetlands functions have been restored or offset;

- (2) Any special designation or classification of the water body, including Outstanding Florida Waters, aquatic preserves, or class II;
 - (3) The type of wetland to be created and the likelihood of successfully creating that type of wetland;
 - (4) Whether or not the affected wetland is functioning as natural, healthy wetland of that type;
 - (5) Whether the wetland is unique for that watershed;
 - (6) The presence or absence of exotic or nuisance plants within the wetland and adverse effects those plants have on the wetland's beneficial functions;
 - (7) Whether the proposed project eliminates or changes the wetland from one type to another;
 - (8) The amount and quality of upland habitat preserved as conservation areas or buffer.

Sec. 8-31. Violations, penalties, and stop work orders.

- (a) Whenever evidence has been obtained or received establishing that a violation of this chapter, or any rules or regulations adopted pursuant to this chapter has occurred, the City shall have the power and authority to issue a stop work order requiring the violator to cease the activity or suspend operation of the facility causing the violation until the violation has been investigated by the appropriate agencies.
- (b) The City may require that the owner/developer submit a wetland alteration permit, which shall include a wetland mitigation plan in accordance with this chapter.
- (c) Review of the wetland alteration permit shall follow the procedures set forth in this chapter. If a condition of approval is the requirement for corrective action, then the

corrective actions shall occur within 20 days of the issued Wetland Alteration Permit. If the corrective actions have not occurred within the specified time allowed, then the violation shall be submitted to the City's Code Enforcement Department for further action.

Sec. 8-32. Appeals to Development Review Committee.

Any administrative decisions made pursuant to this ordinance may be appealed by an adversely affected party in writing within 30 days of the rendering of said decision to the Development Review Committee in accordance with Chapter 4.7, Article IV of the Code of Ordinances.

SECTION 3. CODIFICATION. It is the intent of the City Council of the City of Maitland that the provisions of this Ordinance shall be codified. The codifier is granted broad and liberal authority in codifying the provisions of this Ordinance.

SECTION 4. SEVERABILITY. If any section, sentence, phrase, word or portion of this Ordinance is determined to be invalid, unlawful or unconstitutional, said determination shall not be held to invalidate or impair the validity, force or effect of any other section, sentence, phrase, word or portion of this Ordinance not otherwise determined to be invalid, unlawful or unconstitutional.

SECTION 5. CONFLICTS. In any case where a provision of this Ordinance is found to be in conflict with a provision of any other ordinance of this City, the provision which establishes the higher standards for the promotion and protection of the health and safety of the people shall prevail.

SECTION 6. EFFECTIVE DATE. This Ordinance shall become effective upon adoption.

276	A	ADOPTED by the City Council of the City of Maitland, Florida, this day of
277		, 2016.
278		CITY OF MAITLAND, FLORIDA
279		By:
280		A. DALE MCDONALD, MAYOR
281		
282		
283	Attest:	
284		MARIA WALDROP, CITY CLERK

On September 21, 2016, the Lakes Advisory Board discussed the attached wetlands protection ordinance and directed staff to bring the ordinance before the City Council for discussion and further direction.

At the City Council meeting of December 14, 2016, the City Council reviewed a draft of the Wetlands Protection Ordinance for discussion purposes. There was general consensus that a majority of the ordinance accomplished what was required within the Comprehensive Development Plan as it relates to wetland protection. However, there was concern regarding mitigation payments made to the city for impacts to wetlands or the upland buffer not under the jurisdiction of the St. Johns River Water Management District. Additionally, the City Council discussed the propriety of including development of single –family lots as part of the ordinance. It was decided not to apply this ordinance to single-family residential properties. The City Council discussed the defensibility of the trust fund fees and the cost of implemented the mitigation procedure verses to amount of funds paid into the trust fund.

Two options of the Ordinance were developed by Drew Smith of the City attorney's office. Option A does not include the sections for the Environmental Improvement Trust Fund and Option B includes the Trust Fund sections. Both options follow the initial review process when a wetland and/or a wetland protection zone will be impacted during proposed development.

The ordinance sets forth to achieve several layers of priorities. First, the ordinance establishes a mechanism to delineate the boundaries of any wetlands located upon property to be improved and establishes a wetlands protection zone. From there, the ordinance specifies those activities that are prohibited or restricted within a wetlands protection zone and specifies those activities which are exempt from regulation by the ordinance. Finally, the ordinance addresses mitigation of unavoidable impacts to wetlands.

The following are the steps of progressive wetland impacts and actions taken:

- (1) Avoiding the impact altogether by not taking a certain action or parts of an action;
- (2) Minimizing impacts by limiting the degree or magnitude of the action or its implementation;
- (3) Rectifying the impact by repairing, rehabilitating, or restoring the affected environment;
- (4) Reducing or eliminating the impact over time by preservation and maintenance operations during the life of the action;
- (5) On-site mitigation of the impact by replacing or providing substitute resources or environments through creation of new wetlands, enhancement of existing wetlands, or reestablishment of wetlands which are no longer functioning due to significant alteration in the past.

Option B includes an additional section that addresses unavoidable wetland impacts:

(6) Depositing mitigation fees into the City of Maitland's Environmental Improvement Trust Fund as provided herein.

The ordinance identifies criteria which shall be considered by the City in determining a mitigation ratio when mitigation will be achieved by an applicant providing replacement acreage. When there are unavoidable impacts, the Environmental Improvement Trust Fund creates a

mechanism by which the City can take measures to offset impacts of development on the wetland systems that are located within the City. The Lakes Advisory Board discussed the possibility of utilizing a fixed ratio that would apply in all cases but ultimately accepted a case-by-case determination based on the enumerated factors due to fact that the impacts from each project will differ from other projects and the quality of the wetlands impacted will differ from site to site.

Due to the question of the defensibility of the Environmental Improvement Trust Fund fees and the limited potential of mitigation funds to be recovered, staff recommends that the Lake Advisory Board make a recommendation to City Council to approve Option A of the Wetlands Protection Ordinance.



Lakes Advisory Board Tuesday, December 6, 2016 Council Chambers 6:30 PM



I. CALL TO ORDER

Attendee Name	Title	Status	Arrived
Kathleen McIver	Member	Excused	
Vance Guthrie	Vice-Chair	Present	
Aleas Koos	Member	Excused	
Maureen McCabe	Member	Present	
Gary Bosses	Member	Excused	
Josie Marston	Member	Present	
Marc Walch	Chair	Present	

II. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

III. ROLL CALL

Vance Guthrie, Maureen McCabe, Marc Walch and Josie Marston were present. Gary Bosses, Kathleen McIver and Aleas Koos were absent with excuse.

City Staff present: Rick Lemke, Public Works Director Mark Reggentin, Assistant City Manager

Others present: Drew Smith, City Attorney's Office Mayor Dale McDonald

IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A. NOVEMBER 16, 2016 MINUTES

1. Lakes Advisory Board - Regular - Nov 16, 2016 6:30 PM

Vance Guthrie made a motion to accept the minutes as presented, motion was seconded by Josie Marston. Motion passed unanimously.

RESULT: ACCEPTED [UNANIMOUS] **AYES:** Guthrie, McCabe, Marston, Walch

EXCUSED: McIver, Koos, Bosses

V. DECISION ITEMS

1. Wetlands Protection Ordinance

LAB members were given copies of two versions of the ordinance, one with the Environmental Improvement Trust Fund and its compensatory measures, and a second version without the Trust Fund sections.

Drew Smith presented the two versions to the board and explained the differences. The compensatory fees can't be charged bases on what SJRWMD charges. There are two areas of mitigation, one is the area that SJRWMD establishes as the wetlands area and the second is the area of the Wetlands Protection Zone. The first wetlands area may include part or all of the Wetlands Protection Zone and what remains may not be significant enough to warrant the proceeding by the City for Mitigation separate from the SJRWMD.

Paul pointed out small changes to the wording that were made to the documents.

Josie Marston: Option B Line 71, creation of new wetlands. She asked where there are areas to create wetlands. Paul said this is usually increasing existing wetlands into new adjoining area. I-4 retention ponds will become wetlands over time but not providing habitat due to traffic.

Maureen McCabe: No comments on the versions.

Vance Guthrie: Permit reviewed by Lake Management Division. If there is a vacancy in that position when this issue comes up, who will do the review? Mark Reggentin suggested putting in "the City" to cover this contingency so that the City enforces the ordinance according to the code. Drew Smith verified that is the procedure. Paul will make this change throughout the document. Line 75: The Maitland Environmental Improvement Trust Fund. Could the funds be used for something other than the enhancement of wetlands? Josie pointed out that other sections state how the funds can be used. Drew said that "ongoing maintenance" does not qualify for this funding.

Paul asked to insert the word 'solely' into section 8-30 (d); "...Trust Fund shall be used <u>solely</u> for the purpose of purchasing, improving..."

Vance asked about density calculations that use wetlands as part of area for open spaces. Drew said that this ordinance cannot cover that issue.

Vance discussed the concept of the value of the wetlands verses the cost of the effort to preserve it. By improving wetlands for the future is worth whatever it costs to preserve that environment. We need to look at the future of the City and its environment.

Marc Walch: asked about setting the application fee and how it is determined. Drew said that it will be set by council to cover the costs of administering the application. If the LAB chose Option A and decided to modify it, what is the time it takes to institute these changes? Drew said it can be done immediately or any time after the ordinance is adopted. Mark asked if we pass the ordinance with the trust fund included but without the resolution for the fee structure, can the fees be established later. Drew said that this issue has happened with SJRWMD and lead to lengthy litigation due to the lack of specificity in the wetlands delineation methods. The fund can be established and the City can put funds into it as part of the budgetary process. By choosing the Option B Ordinance the fund will be established and the funding method can be determined later. Marc stated that having the Trust fund in the ordinance which allows for future funding methods would be his choice.

Marc asked for a motion; Josie Marston made a motion to recommend Wetlands Protection Ordinance Option B with those changes mentioned earlier to the City Council. Vance seconded the motion. Marc asked for further discussion.

December 6, 2016

There being no further discussion a roll call vote was taken. Results below.

Marc thanked Drew Smith for his efforts to bring this ordinance to a conclusion.

RESULT: ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]

AYES: Guthrie, McCabe, Marston, Walch

EXCUSED: McIver, Koos, Bosses

VI. ADJOURNMENT

Marc Walch called for a motion to adjourn the meeting, Maureen McCabe made the motion to adjourn, Josie Marston seconded the motion, all approved, meeting adjourned at 7:30 pm.

